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Some of Walker's [Waccara's] band [of Utes] were in the habit of raiding on the Pahutes and low tribes, taking their children prisoners and selling them. Next year when they came up and camped on the Provo bench, they had some Indian children for sale. They offered them to the Mormons, who declined buying. Arapine, Walker's brother, became enraged saying that the Mormons had stopped the Mexicans from buying these children; that they had no right to do so, unless they bought them themselves. Several of us were present when he took one of these children by the heels and dashed its brains out on the hard ground, after which he threw the body towards us, telling us we had no hearts, or we would have bought it and saved its life.

Daniel W. Jones
Utah, 1853

For the Southern Paiute and Western Ute inhabitants of the southern Great Basin, contact with Euro-Americans initiated extensive cultural transformations. In 1776, Friar Francisco Athanasio Domínguez, a Spanish Franciscan seeking a land route to California, led the first recorded Euro-American expedition into the Great Basin. Though Domínguez's expedition was unsuccessful in finding a passage to that extensive Spanish province, it did achieve another objective by making contact with both the Western Utes of present-day Utah and the Southern Paiutes of southwestern Utah and southern Nevada. Domínguez's promises to return to these people for the purpose of building settlements and missions did not come to fruition, but the trails into the Great Basin forged by Domínguez and others were followed by New Mexican and American trappers and traders, and eventually by a flood of Mormon settlers hungry for land. Throughout the turbulent post-contact period, the Southern Paiutes and Western Utes sought to adapt to the deluge of Euro-American influences and settlements washing into their lands. Among the many tragic consequences...

Stephen P. Van Hoak is currently a doctoral student in history at the University of Oklahoma. He wishes to thank both Professors Willard Rollings and Hal K. Rothman for reviewing early drafts of this manuscript while Mr. Van Hoak was in the M.A. program in history at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas.
of Euroamerican influence and Indian accommodation was the creation of an Indian slave trade. Differing capacities of the Southern Paiutes and Western Utes to adapt to change resulted in the Utes becoming active slave raiders and traders, with the Paiutes often becoming their victims. By the early 1850s the slave trade was at its peak, involving New Mexicans, Utes, Mormons, and Paiutes, all contending for possession of Paiute children, the preferred target of slavers. Euroamericans were not only the catalysts for this slave trade, but also the agents of its eventual demise.2

Prior to Euroamerican contact, slavery was virtually nonexistent among the Southern Paiutes and Western Utes. Primarily hunting and gathering societies, these tribes had neither cultural nor economic use for slaves. In the arid Great Basin, the resources consumed by slaves would have equaled or exceeded the sparse resources they might have generated, and the nearest potential market for the sale of captives was New Mexico, an area largely unknown to the Paiutes and Utes prior to the Domínguez expedition in 1776. Women and children captured in intertribal conflicts were either killed or integrated into the capturing tribe, while male captives were nearly always killed. But the entry of New Mexicans into the Great Basin beginning in 1776 provided the Western Utes with an alternative to killing or integrating captives.3

Domínguez’s trail into the Great Basin was soon followed by New Mexicans seeking trade with the newly discovered natives of the Great Basin. The New Mexican traders who journeyed along the Spanish Trail into Western Ute territory were primarily interested in beaver pelts, but many also traveled there in search of slaves. In 1813 Mauricio Arze and Lagos Garcia led a trading expedition to Utah Lake in central Utah that returned to New Mexico with several Indian captives purchased from the Western Utes. According to the affidavits of the members of this expedition, the Western Utes were accustomed to selling captives to passing New Mexican traders.4 This is the first evidence of an Indian slave trade in the Great Basin, and it suggests that Euroamericans created the market for slaves in that region sometime between 1776 and 1813.5 The slave traders usually brought purchased captives back to New Mexico for sale as domestic servants to wealthy landowners.6 Children were highly prized as slaves and the preferred target of traders because of their relative ease of control and assimilation; child captives thus became more valuable to the Western Utes as objects for barter to slave traders for Euroamerican goods than as potential integrated members of the tribe..

In addition to a market for slaves, New Mexicans also introduced guns and horses to the Western Utes, who proved ideally suited to acquire and utilize these items. The home of the Western Utes in the foothills of the Wasatch Mountains provided a generous supply of exploitable food sources, including small and large game, piñon nuts, and numerous edible plants and roots. Utah Lake was the only large freshwater lake in the southern Great Basin, and its numerous feeder streams ensured a stable, year-round supply of fish for the Western
New Mexicans, Utes, Mormons, and Paiutes contended for possession of Paiute children, the preferred target of slavers. (Nevada Historical Society)
Utes. This abundance of food sources supported a population of Utes that was comparatively dense by Great Basin standards, including a large number of warriors. The location of the Western Utes, astride both the east-west Spanish Trail and the north-south trade route used by fur trappers, allowed them access to trade without their ecosystem and grasslands being ravaged by the heavy traffic of the Spanish Trail. The Utes’ excellent access to trade and their surplus of available resources enabled them to trade pelts and food, as well as captives, to Euroamerican traders in exchange for guns and horses. As guns and horses proliferated among the Western Utes, the newly armed and mounted warriors formed predatory raiding bands, primarily hunting buffalo in what is now Utah, Wyoming, and Idaho, and engaging in small-scale horse raids against the Shoshone inhabitants of those regions. Usually horse raiding and buffalo hunting were profitable ventures and lucrative vocations for the warriors in these bands, and by the 1820s many such bands existed among the Western Utes.

In contrast to the Western Utes, the Southern Paiutes were unable to adopt widespread use of guns and horses. Southern Paiute lands were characterized by expansive dry and bleak landscapes dotted with relatively small, fertile areas surrounding springs and rivers. Though the Paiutes were experts at exploiting the scarce resources in their environment, they had few desirable products to trade to the New Mexicans for guns, ammunition, or horses. With only scattered large game to hunt and no nearby weaker tribes to raid, the potential economic advantages in acquiring these weapons was severely limited. Effective hunting, raiding, and combat application of guns and horses required a denser population than that of the Southern Paiutes, whose concentration of resources was insufficient to support such a population. Even had they acquired a large quantity of horses, the relatively sparse grasslands in Southern Paiute territory were inadequate to sustain such herds for protracted periods of time. As a result, the few horses the Paiutes acquired were consumed as food, and the few guns they procured quickly fell into disuse as they malfunctioned or were depleted of ammunition. Lacking a concentrated force of mounted and well-armed warriors, the Paiutes were at a severe military disadvantage to both the Western Utes and the New Mexicans.

In 1830, annual New Mexican caravans, often consisting of hundreds of traders and thousands of animals, began to traverse the Spanish Trail between New Mexico and California. Although most of the New Mexicans in these caravans were legitimate traders, some capitalized on the relative military weakness of the Paiutes to obtain slaves. Many of these slave traders possessed guns and horses that enabled them to strike quickly, overwhelm small groups of unmounted and bow-armed Paiutes, and leave quickly without retaliation. These raids became quite common, as evidenced by an incident involving Edward F. Beale, superintendent of Indian affairs in California, who was traveling west to assume his post in 1853. While in southwestern Utah, a New Mexi-
can accompanying Beale enthusiastically characterized slave hunting for Paiutes as a common and unobjectionable pursuit, and further urged Beale to assist him to “charge [the Paiutes] like hell, kill the mans, and maybe catch some of the little boys and gals.” The New Mexicans usually hunted slaves on the return trip in early spring, when Paiute food supplies were at their lowest and the tribe was most vulnerable. Militarily overmatched and often outnumbered, the Southern Paiutes commonly sought to avoid slave raiders. Individual groups of Southern Paiutes along the Spanish Trail had little collective military or political organization, so coordinated defensive efforts were not feasible. Although adjacent groups of Paiutes may have warned each other of approaching travelers through the use of smoke signals, hiding was usually the Paiutes’ only possible defense. Typically they sent away their women and children, while the male warriors hid and observed strangers as they approached. If the travelers were not slave traders, the Paiutes were often friendly and well disposed to trade; otherwise they usually remained concealed. But many Southern Paiutes were dependent on the water sites of the Spanish Trail, and slave traders aware of that fact were occasionally able to surprise them. Resource-depleted and hungry, confronted with powerful slaving bands often impossible to avoid, many Paiutes were left with only one reasonable option: the peaceful trading of their children to the slave traders.

Nonviolent sale of their children to slave traders had numerous benefits for the Southern Paiutes, especially in the spring, when they often experienced food shortages. Many slave traders were willing to part with a low-quality horse or gun in exchange for a child. To the Paiutes, the horse was food that could possibly save many from starvation, and the guns could be used to hunt stray animals in passing caravans. By trading children peacefully, the Paiutes avoided a one-sided confrontation in which they could lose many more of their number to battle or slavery, while the slave traders eliminated any risk to themselves in such a battle. Faced with this difficult choice, some groups of Paiutes captured and sold children from other Paiute clans rather than surrender their own children.

Slavery had a profoundly tragic effect on the families of the Paiute victims, who often watched helplessly as their children were taken hundreds of miles away to permanent servitude in an alien culture. Although parents who resisted were usually killed, some resorted to desperate acts to save their children from slavery. In one instance, a Paiute woman whose infant was seized recaptured the child from its captors. The slave traders pursued and cornered the woman, whereupon she flung the infant over a cliff rather than surrender him again. Fear of slave raids was pervasive near the Spanish Trail. In 1853, some passing travelers came upon a Paiute village; the Paiute women fled and hid their children, fearing the strangers were slavers. As the travelers entered one of the huts, they noticed a slight movement inside a wicker basket; lifting
The high losses of women often made it difficult for single males to find wives. (*Nevada Historical Society*)
Agriculture and plant gathering likely suffered from the death of women, who were responsible for these activities. (Nevada Historical Society)
the lid, they found within "a little naked fellow, his teeth chattering with fear."26 Adult women were also a frequent target of slavers, as illustrated in the following excerpt from the recollection of a member of a trapping/trading expedition in 1841:

Passing on for five further days, two Indian women were found digging roots. They were seized and forced to join us. They wept silently and one of them pointed to her breasts, saying her child would die if she left him, but our men took no heed of her. Next day her milk was streaming from her dugs and she became seriously sad, sobbing wildly and vehemently for her young, and I was bent on conniving at her escape. We also came upon three forsaken grass tents whose natives fled at our approach except two children, a boy and a girl who had no mother, and their father being out hunting the others left them to their fate. The poor motherless things were much frightened and nearly choked from fear. . . But the poor father, where was he and what a hopeless fire he must have lighted on the night of his return to his dark and grassy home.27

Patterns of Paiute social grouping compounded the tragic impact of slave raids. Small Paiute communities were devastated by losses of up to half their women and children, including the entire families of some men, as illustrated above.28 The high losses of women often made it difficult for single males to find wives, and agriculture and plant gathering likely suffered from the death of women, who were responsible for these activities.29 Fortunately, Southern Paiute social organization was very flexible, and many small groups severely victimized by slaving joined neighboring groups.

To the Paiutes, the most feared and dangerous slave raiders were a band of Western Utes led by Waccara, "The Hawk of the Mountains," who augmented horse raiding and buffalo hunting by demanding children from the Paiutes along the Spanish Trail. Waccara and his band were rarely more than a few days away and were intimately familiar with the Paiute lands, so hiding was not an effective defense for the Paiutes in this case. Usually unable either to resist or to evade Waccara's Utes, the Paiutes often received little or no compensation for the children they seized. By modern standards, Waccara and his band were frequently extremely brutal in their treatment of captives, torturing or even killing the uncooperative, either directly or through extreme neglect and starvation; such treatment did not, however, violate Western Ute cultural values or norms that placed minimal value on the lives of captives.30 Waccara's Utes bartered surviving captive children to New Mexican traders or Navajos for guns, ammunition, and occasionally horses, usually receiving far more in trade than the Paiutes had for their own children.31

By the 1840s, Waccara and his band were a major military, political, and economic factor in the Great Basin, partially as a result of their success at the slave trade.32 That success was in turn the direct result of their acquisition of guns and horses, which had brought them military dominance over the Southern Paiutes. But beginning in 1847, a new people also armed with guns and horses began to arrive in Utah, seeking a home in the Great Basin and intent on exerting their will over the native inhabitants of the region.
Waccara, called “The Hawk of the Mountains,” led the most feared and dangerous slave raiders, a band of Western Utes who augmented horse raiding and buffalo hunting by demanding children from the Paiutes along the Spanish Trail. (Utah State Historical Society)
The members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, commonly referred to as Mormons, arrived in the Salt Lake Valley with mixed attitudes toward American Indians. Brigham Young, leader of the Mormons, selected Salt Lake Valley for the first settlement as it was the site least likely to provoke hostilities with the natives, although he claimed that his people arrived in Utah ready to “kill all [the Utah Indians] if obliged.” Of foremost importance to Young and the Mormon leadership was the securing of a safe new home for their people. His intent was not to destroy the Indians but rather, if possible, to bring them “salvation” through assimilation. Central to the views of Young and the Mormon leadership was the belief that the Indians of North America were descendants of a fallen tribe of Israel: the Lamanites. According to The Book of Mormon and Mormon theology, the formula for the redemption of the Lamanites involved the resettlement of Lamanite lands by whites, the teaching to the Indians of their true history as recounted in The Book of Mormon, and the restoration of the “true church” among the Indians. With all this accomplished, the Lamanites would have the curse of their dark skin removed and once again become a “white and delightful people,” assisting the Mormons in preparing for the second coming of Jesus Christ. This belief was integral to official Mormon policy toward the American Indians from the 1830s to the 1860s, and became the basis for the Mormon leadership’s ethnocentric paternalism in their relations with the Western Utes and Southern Paiutes. Most Mormon settlers, however, were far more concerned with practical matters than with the salvation of the Lamanites. To these settlers, Indians were a hindrance that necessitated forcible removal. Brigham Young initially yielded to pressure by these settlers in sanctioning military engagements, but the cost of these conflicts was high and eventually Young firmly established an Indian-relations policy based upon the principle that it was more economical to “feed rather than fight” the Indians. This appealed to the settlers, many of whom understood the economic value of Young’s policy while remaining dubious of the necessity of saving the Lamanites.

The Western Utes were divided in their views regarding the Mormon settlement. Grouped into different political units in each area of high resource density, the Utes had no political organization at the “tribal” level. Although the first Mormon settlement in Utah was in Salt Lake Valley, an area of dispute between the Western Utes and the Shoshoni, subsequent Mormon settlements extended southward into the heart of Western Ute territory. Sowiette, leader of the Uintah Western Utes, whose hunting grounds in the Uintah Basin of northeastern Utah remained untouched by the Mormons, supported Mormon settlement of western and southern Utah. Many of the Western Utes in the path of Mormon settlement were less supportive, however, including Waccara, who twice resolved to attack the fledgling settlements only to be dissuaded by Sowiette’s support of the Mormons. Unable to oppose both Sowiette and the Mormons, Waccara eventually ceased efforts to dislodge the Mormons and
began cultivating their friendship, intent on developing a more dependable source of guns and ammunition and a more convenient market for the sale of his horses and captives.

The Mormons were confronted with Indian slavery almost immediately upon arriving in Salt Lake Valley. In the winter of 1847–48, several Indians of unspecified origin came to the Mormon camp demanding that the Mormons purchase two captive Indian girls from them. The Mormons were reluctant to trade for the captives, especially at the required price of a rifle. But the Indians proceeded to torture and kill one of the girls, whereupon the Mormons immediately purchased the other girl for the specified price. Though initially unwilling to purchase captives or to supply arms to the Western Utes, Mormons were often pressured into buying children by Ute threats of violence upon these victims. Several other children were purchased by Mormons during that first winter in Utah to prevent perceived cruelty and neglect of captives, as depicted in the following Mormon account.

One poor little boy, not more than five years old, an emaciated, motherless, little captive, with scarcely one thin dirty rag between his tender flesh and the chilling frosts of early spring, came night after night, close to our homes and built his lonely little campfire, of the chips hewn from the logs, which the settlers had been using. When the earth beneath the fire became sufficiently warmed, he would carefully remove the coals, and with the patient stoicism of his own race, lie down to sleep. It was apparent to all that he was slowly dying of hunger, cold, and neglect. The children of the whites occasionally divided their scanty morsel with him. But one morning, by the lifeless embers of his little campfire, he lay dead.

Although the Mormons did not purchase this child, they increasingly succumbed to Ute attempts to cultivate their sympathies for such children and the market for captives became increasingly important to Waccara’s band.

As a result of Mormon settlement in Utah and other external pressures, Waccara’s band began to diminish in number, strength, and influence, increasing their reliance on the slave trade. The thousands of Mormons who flooded into Utah in the 1840s and 1850s armed with guns and horses constituted a powerful new force in the Great Basin. They depleted the supply of game and cleared for settlement and agricultural purpose the grasslands that were vital to Waccara. They also inhibited his efforts to trade with non-Mormons for guns and ammunition. At the same time, Euroamerican diseases such as measles began to ravage Waccara’s people, incapacitating many of his warriors. The raiding and hunting effectiveness of Waccara’s band was minimal without a significant number of healthy warriors and frequent replenishment of guns, ammunition, and horses. By 1850, the buffalo had disappeared from within effective range of Waccara’s band, the fur trade had collapsed, and horse raiding had become more dangerous and uncertain as American troops moved to California and New Mexico following the Mexican War in 1846. When his raids began to fail, Waccara and his band were forced to depend upon the sale
of Paiute children to acquire much needed guns, ammunition, and horses.\textsuperscript{45} To Waccara and his warriors, the hunting-and-gathering subsistence used by the Western Utes, before the horse was not appealing, and in any case it was impractical after Mormon settlement.\textsuperscript{46} New Mexican caravans ceased their yearly trading ventures over the Spanish Trail in 1844, but small groups of traders continued to traffic along the Spanish Trail. With increasing frequency, Waccara directed quick raids on the nearby Paiute tribes and bartered captives to passing traders or to Mormons for the products he and his people needed.

Mormon positions on slavery as an institution were varied. African American slaves were brought into Utah by Mormons, although not in great numbers. The small scale farming practiced by Mormons was not conducive to slave labor, and Mormon doctrines of individual hard work and community assistance for the common good were inconsistent with slavery. But slavery was legally allowed until 1862 in all territories, including Utah, and though Brigham Young’s statements on the issue of slavery are conflicting, African American slavery was tolerated in Utah. In this case, Mormon theology dictated policy—African Americans were “the cursed seed of Canaan and should serve the sons of Abraham.”\textsuperscript{47} But “Lamanites,” though also cursed with dark skin, were not relegated to servitude by Mormon doctrine. Ultimately, the Mormon position on Indian captivity was dictated by a combination of pragmatic concerns and theology.

The early reluctance of Mormons to purchase Indian captives changed to their willling and active participation in the slave trade by 1850. Brigham Young greatly coveted friendship with the still influential and powerful Waccara. Young’s desire to assimilate and “save” the Lamanites, as well as to appease Waccara, induced him to embrace the concept of Mormon “adoption” of Paiute children. He advised his people “to buy up the Lamanite children as fast as they could, and educate them and teach them the gospel so that many generations would not pass err they should become a white and delightsome people.”\textsuperscript{48} Waccara was given a traveling paper by a Mormon church official that certified him as a friend of the Mormons, and indicated Waccara’s intent “to trade horses, Buckskins, and Piede [Paiute] children—we hope them success and prosperity and good bargains.”\textsuperscript{49} In addition to the Mormon–Ute traffic in captives, some Paiute groups raided other Paiutes for children and bartered the captives to Mormons, usually for food.\textsuperscript{50} The Paiutes also occasionally sold or gave their own children to the Mormons - not only would the Paiutes receive food in exchange for the child, but the child would also be well fed in the new home and allowed visitation by the Paiute family. Often, these children left their Mormon home after a period of time, and it is likely that many of the Paiutes who sold their children did not intend it to be a permanent arrangement.\textsuperscript{51} Some Paiutes preferred Mormon assimilation of their children to the possibility of starvation or New Mexican slavery.\textsuperscript{52}

Mormons who “adopted” Paiute children had various motivations in addi-
Brigham Young, leader of the Mormons, selected Salt Lake Valley for the first settlement as it was the site least likely to provoke hostilities with the natives. Of foremost importance to Young and the Mormon leadership was the securing of a safe new home for their people. (Nevada Historical Society)
tion to a desire to follow the instructions of Brigham Young. Ethnocentric paternalism was a common reason. Through Mormon adoption, Indian children would be “redeemed from the thralldom of savage barbarity, and placed upon an equal footing with the more favored portions of the human race.” Salvation and conversion of the Lamanites was another stimulus for adoption, especially to missionaries. Lamanite children could be “cleansed of their old ways” easier than the adults, of whom “many of the old ones may continue in their habits and die off.” Mormon doctrine as well as compassion moved many Mormons to adopt Indian children found abused or starving, while other Mormons likely purchased children for their value as laborers; children were valuable assets on small farms. Intertwined with these motivations for adoption was the Mormon concept of apprenticeship. The Indian “apprentices” would be fed, clothed, and educated—essentially taught how to be “white and delightful,” according to Mormon theology.

Native American child adoptees were typically well treated by their Mormon adopters, most of whom were prominent church officials and ministers. The children were generally fed, clothed, and educated in the same manner as other children in the household, and were also expected to work, as were the other children. Many adoptees, especially those procured as infants, shared a mutual bond of affection with their new “parents.” It should be noted that most accounts of the treatment of Paiute children in Mormon homes were written by Mormons, who would have been reluctant to record any abusive or unequal treatment of these children. As a result of disease and problems adjusting to the new diet and lifestyle, about 60 percent of the adoptees died by their early twenties, a mortality rate much higher than that of Mormon children.

Mormon society as a whole was not as accepting of Native American adoptees as were the Mormon adopters. Most of these children were disappointed in their efforts to find a Mormon spouse upon maturation, and many returned to their tribes in the hope of finding a mate. Although some Native Americans were received into the church, a few even becoming honorary elders, most Mormons did not regard them as their peers. This was difficult for the Paiute adoptees to accept, deeply imprinted with Mormon ideals and values as children, they found that as adults they had no place in Mormon society. One dying Native American woman, Lucy Meeks, had lived her entire life among the Mormons, felt that it had been a mistake ever to believe she could become a white and that Paiute children should stay among their own people where they could be happy. Although Paiute adoptees were not usually allowed to assimilate fully into Mormon society, they were not treated as servants or slaves, which, the Mormons believed was the fate of Native American captives in New Mexico. While this was of little solace to mature Paiute adoptees, the distinction was significant to Mormons.

Despite the Mormon rhetoric, however, Mormon “adoption” of Indian chil-
And Who Shall Have the Children?

Children had shared striking similarities to New Mexican enslavement. In both cases, the salvation, redemption, and civilization of the child were the most commonly cited motivations for the purchase of Native American children. Both Mormon and New Mexican promised to care for, “educate,” and Christianize their wards, and both proceeded to rename and baptize the children. In neither Utah nor New Mexico were the adoptees allowed to assimilate fully or marry into the dominant culture; thus they became a permanently separate and distinct class of people outside of both Indian and Euroamerican societies.

Although there were similarities between New Mexican servitude and Mormon adoption, there is evidence to support that the Mormons were more benevolent than New Mexicans. In New Mexico, 40 percent of Native American children purchased were specifically identified as slaves; only 33 percent were identified as adoptees. Regardless of terminology, there appears to have been little difference in the status of slaves and adoptees in New Mexico; all purchased or captured Native Americans were collectively referred to as *genizaros*. In New Mexico, *genizaros* had a separate and subordinate niche both within their “families” and in the greater New Mexican community because of lack of good breeding, status, and honor — the defining characteristics of Spanish New Mexican society. In Utah, though Native American adoptees were distinct from other Mormons, they were not viewed with the same disdain as in New Mexico. Although both societies purported to educate and care for their wards, the Mormons fulfilled these promises with more zeal than did the New Mexicans. Ethnocentric paternalism characterized the perceptions of both Mormons and New Mexicans, but among Mormons it was hoped that one day the Lamanites would be “redeemed” and become “white and delightsome” while the Spanish New Mexicans had no such aspirations for their wards.

As the Mormons expanded their domain into Southern Paiute lands in southwestern Utah, their leaders re-evaluated the Ute and New Mexican slave trade. In contrast to their own concepts of adoption and apprenticeship, Mormons began to view Ute capture of Paiute children, and their subsequent New Mexican enslavement as harsh and cruel. Increasingly lurid and exaggerated Mormon accounts of the slave trade were a by-product of increased Mormon interaction with the victims of slavery, the Paiutes, as well as the Mormons’ negative racial attitudes toward the New Mexicans, compounded by the recent war with Mexico. The Mormon leadership was also deeply concerned about guns and ammunition that were flowing from the New Mexicans to the Western Utes as a result of the slave trade: Armed New Mexicans and Utes in Utah were a possible threat to Mormon sovereignty. In 1851 Young and the Mormon Church leadership began a series of efforts to suppress the slave trade, culminating in the dispatch of a thirty-man militia force to arrest and deport New Mexicans in Utah. Young’s order effectively ended the New Mexican involvement in the slave trade, and acquiring Paiute children directly from the Paiutes in exchange
for food, rather than purchasing them from the Utes for guns or ammunition, became the preferred method of Mormon adoption.

Waccara responded to Young’s order by raiding Mormon settlements in a confrontation that became known as the Walker (Waccara) War. Many other Utah Indians, aggrieved over a variety of issues, joined Waccara in rising up against the Mormons. Waccara’s limited goals were to seize Mormon cattle with which to feed his people and to force the Mormons to agree to perpetually purchase all the Paiute children he captured. The Waccara War was very costly to the Mormons, but ended after just one year, when Brigham Young visited Waccara, presented him with gifts of food, guns, and ammunition, and, ironically, purchased an Indian child from him. Although Young’s action essentially acknowledged Waccara’s right to engage in the slave trade, the Mormons had effectively eliminated the market for captives in Utah.

The slave trade began a gradual decline in the decade following the Waccara War. Waccara died in 1855, but other Western Utes continued to seize Paiute children, selling them to any Mormons still willing to purchase captives, or traveling to New Mexico to trade the children to Navajos or New Mexicans. But the Utes found fewer targets each year, as the Paiutes had discovered an effective defense against slave raids: Mormon settlement on their land. The Paiutes initially began inviting Mormons to settle among them in 1850, and despite Ute protests by 1860 there were numerous Mormon settlements and missions among the Paiutes. The Utes found it far more difficult to raid Paiutes living under Mormon protection, and abandoned the slave trade in the early 1860s.

Although Mormon settlement of Paiute lands provided protection from slave raiders, it eventually became just as destructive as the slave trade had been. Contemporary Mormon accounts describe widespread Paiute starvation and rapidly decreasing Indian populations, and while many of these accounts should be dismissed as ethnocentric misunderstandings of Paiute subsistence, it is apparent from the more reliable sources that Paiutes increasingly experienced disease and shortages of resources following Mormon settlement. Southern Paiute population on or near the Spanish Trail in 1859 was approximately 2,200, while in 1873, after extensive Mormon settlement, it had dropped to 1500. Considering that populations of these sizes likely produced between fifty and a hundred babies each year, it is apparent that approximately a hundred Paiutes were lost each year from all causes combined. There are no concrete data on Paiute losses to slavery, but clues on the frequency of raids, numbers of captives taken in raids, and known numbers of Paiute captives at various locations after sale suggest an estimate ranging between thirty and forty women and children per year. Losses to slavery, though significant, were therefore no greater than losses to the starvation and disease that followed Mormon settlement. Although Euroamerican importation of the slave trade, horses, and guns into the Great Basin had a tremendous and tragic cost for Southern Paiutes,
Mormon settlement eventually resulted not only in more horrendous loss of life but also in dispossessing the Paiutes’ land and resources.

The Western Ute presence in the southern Great Basin rapidly declined in the 1860s. In the face of ever-increasing numbers of Mormons and diminishing resources, and the slave trade or any other effective economic options, many Western Utes began a migration northeastward into the Uintah Basin. Starvation and disease exacted a severe toll on those who remained in Utah. A few remaining bands of Utes fought with the Mormons in the Blackhawk War of 1865-1866, but Mormon defensive measures eventually forced them to abandon their raids. By 1873, nearly all surviving Western Utes were on reservations.72

Indian slavery and the slave trade in New Mexico were terminated in the 1860s, when the Union Army in New Mexico began to search for and return to their original people both Indian and New Mexican captives. The exchange of captives was initiated by the United States government in response to abolitionist pressures after the Civil War, but was also seen as an important step in a lasting peace in the region following the bloody campaign against the Navajos in 1863-1864. Although many Indians were returned to their tribes as a result of U. S. government efforts, no Paiutes were documented as being returned. Southern Paiute captives were fewer in numbers, far less politically important, and more difficult to return home than Navajo captives.73

Mormon adoption of Paiute children gradually slowed in the 1860s, and by the 1870s had all but ceased. Mormons were weary of attempting to “save” the Lamanites, and the paucity of natives surviving in Western Utah combined with the rapid growth of Mormon population removed the necessity of continued efforts at assimilation.74 Admitting the failure of his assimilation policy, Young declared in 1871 that “You need never fight the Indians, but if you want to get rid of them try to civilize them.”75 Referring specifically to Mormon adoption of Paiute children, he further stated that “We brought their children into our families and nursed and did everything for them it was possible to do for human beings, but die they would.” As Paiute adoptees returned to their tribes to find mates or died from disease, all who remained among the Mormons were the few who found Mormon spouses. As they intermarried with whites over the generations, their descendants eventually appeared to the Mormons to be “white and delightsome” and were accepted into Mormon society.76
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